

# THE EEFECT OF LESSON STUDY ON SCIENCE TEACHERS' PEDAGOGICAL CONTENT KNOWLEDGE AND SELF EFFICACY

Prof. Dr. Ketut Suma Ganesha University of Education, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences Singaraja- Indonesia

Prof. Dr. I Wayan Sadia Ganesha University of Education, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences Singaraja- Indonesia

Dr. Ni Made Pujani Ganesha University of Education, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences Singaraja- Indonesia

#### Abstract

This study was aimed at investigating the effect of lesson study on science teachers' Pedagogical Content Knowledge and Self Efficacy. This study was conducted at three public junior high schools in Singaraja city. There were nine teachers who participated in this study. They had worked for more than 10 years. They did lesson study activites in three cycles, each cycle consisted of planning, action, and reflection. The data were collected by using pedagogical content knowledge test with the reliability index r = 0.71 and self efficacy questionnaire with the reliability *index* r = 0.92. The data were analyzed descriptively using normalized gain score (g) formula. The results indicated that lesson study can improve science teachers' pedagogical content knowledge and self efficacy. Through lesson study the teachers could get the opportunity to plan the instruction collaboratively, observing the instructional practice well, doing a reflection, and improving the instruction. The result of this study implies that schools need to provide opportunities for the teachers to do lesson study periodically.

**Keywords:** Lesson study, science education, science teacher, pedagogical content knowledge, and self efficacy.

# INTRODUCTION

Studies showed that science education quality in Indonesia is still low. The mapping that was done by TIMSS in 2011 showed that Indonesia's student science literacy ranked 40 of 44 contries surveyed with the mean of 406, lower than the center point of 500 (IEA, 2011). Likewise, PISA survey in 2012 placed Indonesia at the 64 <sup>th</sup> rank of the 65 countries surveyed with the mean of 375, which was still below the international mean of 494 (OECD, 2014). Some factors can be viewed as the determinants of the science education quality. Without neglecting other factors, teacher is a determing important factor of the quality of instruction and student learning achievement (Schleicher, 2016; Lomibao 2016). Instructional quality that is achieved by a teacher depends very much on the teacher's knowledge base for teaching , i.e., a set of skills developed during the activities of teaching (Fernandez, 2014).

According to Shulman (1987) there are seven knowledge bases for teaching that a teacher sould have, i.e., (1) content knowledge, (2) general pedagogical knowledge; (3) curriculum knowledge; (4) pedagogical content knowledge; (5) knowledge of learners and their characteristics; (6) knowledge of educational context; and (7) knowledge of educational end, purposes, and values. Shulman pays a special attention to pedagogical content knowledge (PCK) that is an amalgation between content knowledge and pedagogical knowledge. Pedagogical content knowledge is an intersection of the teacher's knowledge about content, pedagogy, and learning situational context, including students (Morrison & Luttenegger, 2015). PCK covers the ability in understanding how a particular topic, a



particular problem, or issues are organized, presented, and adjusted to various interests and students' abilities in the instruction (Rozenszajn & Yarden, 2014). PCK is a complex integration of pedagogy and lesson content including aspects related to understanding about what to teach, what to learn and what to evaluate, how the student learns, how to facilitate the instruction effectively, the way to facilite the instruction effectively, and understanding about how to integrate content and pedagogy to organize certain topics for the student (Jones & Moreland, 2015). PCK can be used to direct the instruction into contextual settings. According to Driel and Berry (2011) PCK development can imporve instructional strategies and techniques including undestanding on how to develop insights that has an implication in the attainment of professional development objectives. This is confirmed by the statement given by Chapoo et al. (2014) that the ownership of PCK by a teacher supports constructivist teaching process. PCK is generally believed to have a positive effect on teaching process and student learning (Evan, Elen & Depaepe, 2015; Lange, Kleickmann & Möller, 2011).

In addition to knowledge base for teaching, teacher instructional quality depends very much on teacher self efficacy. Self efficacy is a belief held by the teacher about his or her ability that he or she obtained from his or her hard work in a specific job (Bandura, 1997). Self efficacy determines how one feels, thinks, motivates oneself and behaves. Tschannen-Moran & Hoy (2001) in developing the instrument called Teacher's Sense of Efficacy Scale divides self efficacy into three dimensions, namely 1) efficacy in student engagement, 2) efficacy in instructional strategies, and 3) efficacy in classroom management. Achurraa & Villardónb (2013) state that self efficacy is related to behavior pattern shown by the teacher in he classroom and determines a difference in strategy and instructional methodology used. The teacher's *Self efficacy* has a positive effect on the student's motivation and learning achievement (Mojavezi & Poodineh Tamiz ,2012). Pan (2014) concludes that teacher self efficacy has an effect on student motivation, learning atmosphere, and learning satisfaction. Some studies (Bernadowski, Perry & Del Greco, 2013; Black,2015; Flores, 2015) show that teacher self efficacy can be developed through instructional practices.

To enhace instructional quality and science learning achievement, the teacher's PCK and self efficacy need to be developed and improved through sustainable teacher profession development. One of the models of teacher development which has often been applied in various countries is lesson study. Lesson study is a professional development model. Through collaborative and sustainable work based on the principles of collegiality and mutual learning to develop a learning community Bush, 2010; Hithcock, 2010). Lesson study is potential for enhancing an instruction, enriching classroom activities, and transforming school environment (Reza Sarkar Arani, Keisuke, dan Lassegard, 2010).

Lesson study is a professional development approach that motivates teachers to cooperate in designing a lesson, observing the implementation of other teacher's lesson plans, annd making modifications based on what was observed to enhance instruction quality (Lewis and Tsuchida, in Cooper et al, 2011). The collective planning and reflection are potential for the teachers to collectively develop content knowledge and PCK needed for teaching a certain topic (Tepylo & Moss, 2011). The collaborative involvement of teachers in a group provides opportunities for the them to reflect on their instructional practices (Gutierez, 2015).

Studies show that lesson study is an effective model to develop teacher competencies. Lewis, Perry & Hard (2009) state that teachers use lesson study to develop mathematics knowledge and its instruction, cooperative capacity and instructional material quality. This conforms to what is stated by Leong, et.al (2016) that lesson study is very useful to enhance curriculum practice knowledge or instructional materials, instructional methods, teacher learning needs and student learning needs. Cheng & Yee (2012) conclude that lesson study motivates teachers to reconstruct students' thinking and planning lessons that discuss students' misconceptions based on their thinking models. Furthermore, Marsigit (2007) concludes that lesson study activities enhance teacher



professionalism in teaching performances, variations of instructional methods/approaches, and collaborative work.

This study is aimed at investigating the effect of lesson study on science teacher pedagogical content konwledge and self efficacy. There were two research questions answered in this study, i.e. (1) Can lesson study improve science teacher's pedagogical content knowledge? (2) Can lesson study improve science teacher's self efficacy?

#### METHOD

#### Subjects of Study

This study was conducted at three junior high schools , namely SMP Negeri 1 Singaraja, SMP Negeri 6 Singaraja, and SMP Negeri 4 Singaraja. Nine Science teachers participated voluntarily: 3 Science teachers from SMP Negeri 1 Singaraja, 4 from SMP Negeri 4 Singaraja, and 2 from SMP Negeri 6 Singaraja. They have worked for more than 10 years.

#### **Data Collection**

The data on PCK and teacher self efficacy were collected through a test technique. The instrument used for data collection was PCK test with the realibility index r = 0.71 and self efficacy questionnaire with the reliability index r = 0.92. The PCK test covered dimensions of instructional orientation, knowledge about curriculum, knowledge about student understanding, knowledge about assessment, and knowledge about instructional strategies. The self efficacy questionnaire covered the dimensions of efficacy in student engagement, efficacy in instructional strategies, dan efficacy in classroom management.

Data Analysis

The data about PCK scores and the science teachers' self efficacy were analyzed descriptivequantitatively. To determine the level of PCK and self efficacy the criteria as shown in Table 1 were used.

Score range	Qualification	
85- 100	Very high	
70- 84	High	
55- 69	Medium	
45-44	Low	
Less than 45	Very low	

Table 1: Criteria of qualification of pedagogical content knowledge scores and self efficacy

While, the increase in the teachers PCK and self efficacy scores PCK from pre-lesson study to postlesson study were analyzed by using g factor by using the following formula:  $\hat{g} = \frac{X_{post} - X_{pre}}{X_{max} - X_{pre}}$  (Hake, 2006), with the following criteria:  $\hat{g} \ge 0.7$  high level improvement;  $0.3 \le \hat{g} < 0.7$  medium

(Hake, 2006), with the following criteria:  $g \ge 0.7$  high level improvement;  $0.3 \le g < 0.7$  medium level improvement; and  $\hat{g} < 0.3$  low level improvement.

#### **Implementation stages**

This study was started with a workshop to provide the teachers with the concept and practices of lesson plan. At this time the writer played the role as a resource person. After they had some understanding of the concept and practice about *lesson study*, the activities continued with the implementation of lesson study at each school by following the steps in lesson study recommeded (Susilo, *et.al*, 2009), namely, planning activity ( plan), action (do) and reflection (see).



The participating teachers were grouped into three subgroups, namely subgroup SMP Negeri 1 Singaraja consisting of 3 teachers, subgroup SMP Negeri 4 consisting of 4 teachers, and subgrop SMP Negeri 6 consisting of 2 teachers. Each subgroup was observed by 4 students of Master of Science Education.

# Stage 1. Planning Activity (plan)

A week before the instruction, the teachers, students and lecturers in a group collaboratively did the planning activity. The activities done were (1) writing the instructional materials, (2) discussing instructional materials, (3) planning the schedule for the meetings, (4) simulating the lesson (5) discussing a observation guide, and (6) arriving at a common perception about the observation guide, the observation method (observer's procedure), the observation target, the observation technique and (6) deciding who would become a model teacher. This planning activity was facilitated by three researcher lecturers and were observed by the students of Master of Science Education. The observers observed and recorded carefully the activities and communication that occured in the planning activity.

# Stage 2, Instructional implementation (do)

At this stage the model teacher who was decided at the planning stage (plan) did the teaching according to the lesson plan that had been written. The lesson was conducted according to the time allocation which was scheduled or 2 periods and 3 periods. The lesson was observed by observing teachers and the assisting students. Before the teacher entered the classroom the observers were briefed by the group leader about the technique and procedure of observation. Each subgroup of lesson study did lesson study for three times.

## Stage 3. Reflection (See)

Soon after the lesson started, the model teacher, the observing teachers, and the observing students gathered to do a reflection about the instructional practice that had been implemented. One of the observers played the role of moderator and another one as note taker. In this activity, the model teacher was given the opportunity to express feelings and refections about the instruction that had been conducted. The next opportunity was given to the teachers and students who took part as observers. The reflection was more oriented toward the students learning activites as the impact of the instruction conducted by the model teacher. At the reflection time, the participants worked together to find solutions to instructional problems faced.

# FINDING

# The Effect of Lesson Study on PCK

To determine the effect of lesson study on teacher PCK, a measurement of teacher PCK was done before the lesson study (pre-LS) and after the lesson study (Post-LS) by using PCK test. Table 2 shows the Pre-LS and Post-LS PCKL scores g factor.

Teacher's Code	Pre-LS	Post-LS	g factor	
T1	60	80	0.5	
T2	64	84	0.6	
Т3	58	82	0.6	
T4	60	80	0.5	
Т5	62	72	0.3	
Т6	56	74	0.4	

Table 2: Pre-LS, Post-LS Scores and g factor PCK of science teachers



International Journal on New Trends in Education and Their Implications October 2019 Volume: 10 Issue: 4 ISSN 1309-6249

Τ7	56	68	0.3	
Т8	62	78	0.4	
Т9	60	76	0.4	
Average	59.8	77.1	0.4	

From Table 2 it is apparent that before the lesson study the average score of the teachers' PCK fell into a medium level. While after the lesson study, the average score of the teachers' PCK fell into a high level. Referring to the g factor value, the improvement in the average of the teachers' PCK was at the average level. Thus, the lesson study was effective for improving the teachers' PCK to the medium level.

Table 3 and table 4 show the teachers' PCK and g factor for each dimension. The pre-Lesson Study teachers' PCK average score for each dimension fell into the medium level. After lesson study, the post- Lesson Study teachers' PCK average score for each dimension was at the high level. Looked at from g factor value, there was an increase in PCK average score for each dimension from the medium level. Some teachers experienced an increase in PCK to the high level for certain dimensions.

Teacher's	Dimen	sion 1		Dime	nsion 2		Dime	Dimension 3		
Code	Pre- LS	Post- LS	g factor	Pre- LS	Post- LS	g factor	Pre- LS	Post- LS	g factor	
T1	50	75	0.5	67	78	0.3	64	91	0.8	
T2	63	88	0.7	67	89	0.7	55	82	0.6	
T3	50	88	0.8	56	78	0.5	73	82	0.3	
T4	63	88	0.7	56	78	0.5	55	64	0.2	
T5	50	75	0.5	78	89	0.5	64	73	0.3	
T6	63	73	0.3	56	78	0.5	64	91	0.8	
T7	63	75	0.3	65	77	0.3	55	82	0.6	
T8	65	75	0.3	67	78	0.3	55	73	0.4	
Т9	50	75	0.5	44	67	0.4	64	82	0.5	
Average	55	83	0.6	64	82	0.5	62	78	0.4	

Table 3: Pre-LS, Post-LS Scores and g Factor for Each Dimension of the Science Teachers' PCK

Note: Dimension 1 = Instructional orieantation; dimension 2 = knowledge about curriculum; dimension 3 = knowledge about the students' understanding

As an example, the teacher with code T2 experienced an increase to a high level for dimensionon 1 (insructional orientation) and dimension 2 (knowledge about curriculum); The teacher with code T3 for dimension 1 (instructional orientation); teacher with code T4 for dimension 1 (instructional orientation) and dimension 4 ( instructional strategies); and the teacher with code T8 for dimension 4 ( instructional strategies).

Table 4: Pre-Ls and Post-LS Scores and g factor for science teachers in dimensions 4 and 5.

Teacher's Code	Dimensio	onon 4		Dimension 5			
	Pre-LS	Post-LS	g factor	Pre -LS	Post -LS	g factor	
T1	58	75	0.4	60	80	0.5	
T2	67	83	0.5	70	80	0.3	
Т3	58	83	0.6	50	70	0.4	
T4	67	92	0.8	60	80	0.5	
T5	58	67	0.2	60	70	0.3	



Teacher's Code	Dimensio	onon 4		Dimension 5			
	Pre-LS	Post-LS	g factor	Pre -LS	Post -LS	g factor	
Т6	50	75	0.5	50	60	0.2	
T7	50	67	0.3	50	70	0.4	
Т8	50	83	0.7	60	80	0.5	
Т9	67	75	0.3	70	80	0.3	
Average	62	80	0.5	60	74	0.4	

Note: Dimension 4= knowledge about instructional strategies, dimension 5= knowledge about assessment

#### The Effect of Lesson Study on Science Teacher's Self Efficacy

Lesson study has a positive effect on the teacher's self efficacy. Table 5 shows that the pre-LS, post-LS science teachers' self efficacy and gain factor of the participating teachers in the lesson study. Before the lesson study the average score of the teachers' self-efficacy was at the medium level. After lesson study the average score for pedagogical content knowledge of the teachers improved to the highest level. Looked at from the g factor, 8 teachers (88. 9%) experienced an increase in self efficacy score to the medium level and only 1 (11%) teacher experienced an improvement in self efficacy score to a high level. In general, the average of improvement in self efficacy score of the teachers was to the medium level

Teacher's Code	Pre-LS	Post-LS	g factor
T1	60.7	85.3	0.6
T2	65.6	86.7	0.6
Т3	68.4	83.5	0.5
T4	66.3	82.1	0.5
Т5	71.2	94.0	0.8
Т6	69.5	87.7	0.6
Τ7	68.4	83.2	0.5
Т8	62.5	83.9	0.6
Т9	66.7	83.5	0.5
	66,6	85,5	0,6

Table 5: The Science Teachers' Pe-LS, post-LS Scores and gain factor (g) in Self Efficacy

Self efficacy consists of three dimensions, namely dimension 1, efficacy in student engagement, dimension 2 efficacy in instructional strategies, and dimension 3 efficacy in classroom management. Table 6 shows the self efficacy scores and g factor of the participating teachers for each dimension.

Teacher's Code	Score for dimension 1			Score 2	e for (	dimension	Scor	e for dim	ension 3
	Pre LS	Post LS	g factor	Pre LS	Post LS	g factor	Pre LS	Post LS	g factor
T1	57	90	0.8	61	82	0.5	64	86	0.6
T2	63	83	0.5	66	85	0.6	69	94	0.8
Т3	69	84	0.5	66	86	0.6	71	79	0.3
T4	68	83	0.5	67	81	0.4	63	83	0.5
T5	77	94	0.7	71	93	0.8	64	96	0.9
T6	72	88	0.6	71	88	0.6	63	86	0.6

Table 6: Self Efficacy Scores and g Factor of the Science Teachers per Dimension



# International Journal on New Trends in Education and Their Implications October 2019 Volume: 10 Issue: 4 ISSN 1309-6249

T7	69	82	0.4	69	84	0.5	67	83	0.5
T8	56	86	0.7	66	85	0.6	66	80	0.4
Т9	70	84	0.5	73	84	0.4	56	81	0.6
Average	66.8	86	0.6	67.8	85.3	0.5	64.8	85.3	0.6

From Table 6 it is apparent that the average score for dimension 1 (efficacy in student engagement) of the participating teachers increased form the medium to the high level. By referring to the g factor, we can see that there was an average score imporvement to the medium level. Self efficacy in instructional strategies of the participating teachers in the lesson study also increased from the medium level to the very high level (level 3). However, this cagegory of improvement was at the medium level Thus, lesson study was effective for improving self efficacy of the science teachers to the medium level. There were some teachers who experienced an improvement to a high level for certain self-efficacy dimensions. For example, the teacher with code T1 for dimension 1; the teacher with code T2 for dimension 3; the teacher with code T5 for dimensions 1, 2, 3 and the teacher with code T8 for dimension 1.

#### DISCUSSION

This study was aimed at investigating the effect of lesson study on the science teachers' pedagogigcal content konwledge and self efficacy. The results showed that lesson study could improve the science teachers' pedagogical content knowledge and self efficacy to the mediumm level. Through lesson study, the science teachers were involved in collaborative work from lesson planning, lesson implementation, to lesson reflection. Lesson study accommodated a group of teachers to work together to improve instruction, develop instructional techniques, and help overcoming the obstactles or difficulties faced in learning (Dudly, 2015).

In the planning activities (*plan*) the teachers discussed knowledge about instructional orientation, knowledge about curriculum, knowlege about the understanding of the students characteristics, knowledge about instructional strategies, and assessment practices. They wrote the lesson plans collaboratively. At the lesson implementation (do), the observing teachers observed the students' behaviors in the classroom as the result of the lesson done by the model teacher. Through this observation, they recorded all the details of good practices in the learning activities, and bad practices in the learning activities at the reflection stage, the the science teachers analyzed the good learning practices and bad learning practices to improve the next instruction. The participants identified the factors which motivated and the factors which hindered students' good performances. The involvement of teachers in observation and lesson reflection had given the opportunities for them to learn together, to share ideas and experiences with their colleagues.

Through lesson study the science teachers ' PCK and self efficacy developed through experience. According to Evens *et.al.*, (2015) the PCK reflection through practices, interaction with other teachers, and expert practitioners are effective media in stimulating PCK. Flores (2015) also concludes that general efficacy and personal science teaching efficacy of the preservice teacher can be improved significantly through field-practice based science instruction. The improvement of the efficacy of the science teachers who participated in this study is coherent with the result of Pektas's research (2014) that through lesson study, science teacher candidates experienced a positive change in designing and planning lessons, creating a positive learning environment, involving students in a meaningful context, and accessing the students' learning. Marsigit (2007) concludes that lesson study activities improve teachers' professionalism in teaching performance, variations of teaching methods/ approaches and collaborative work.

This study was designed as a case study involving a limited number of subjects, thus the results cannot be generalized. However, this study can be used as consideration in the implementation of lesson study as a model for science teachers' competence development.



# **CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION**

The lesson study was effective for enhancing the science teachers' pedagogical content knowledge and self efficacy. Through the lesson study they could improve their knowledge about the orientation of the instruction, curriculum, students' understanding, instructional strategies, and assessment. They also got the opportunities to plan lessons collaboratively, observing good lesson practices, doing self evaluation and refelction, and doing an improvement to the instruction. Through collaborative work, the science teachers could improve all the dimensions of self efficacy, namely efficacy in student engagement, efficacy in instructional strategies, and efficacy in classroom management.

# **BIODATA AND CONTACT ADDRESSES OF AUTHORS**



Prof. Dr. Ketut Suma, M.S. is a Professor in the Department of Physics Education, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, Ganesha University of Education. He completed his master degree at Bandung Institute of Technology- Indonesia and got a doctorate degree at Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia. The interest of the author are physics teaching, assessment, and character education.

Prof. Dr. Ketut Suma Ganesha University of Education Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences Singaraja- Indonesia E. Mail: <u>ketut.suma@Undiksha.ac.id</u>



Prof. Dr. I Wayan Sadia, M.Pd, completed his doctoral degree at Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia in Bandung-Indonesia. He is a Professor in the Physics Education Departement, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, Ganesha University of Education. His interests are science and character education research.

Prof. Dr. I Wayan Sadia, M.Pd. Ganesha University of Education Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences Singaraja- Indonesia E. Mail: iwayan.sadia@undiksha.ac.id



Dr. Ni Made Pujani, M.Si, is a lecturer at Science Education Department, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, Ganesha University of Education. Her master's degree obtained at Bandung Institute of Technology -Bandung Indonesia, while his doctorate degree was obtained at Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia. Her research interest is science teaching and assessment.

Dr. Ni Made Pujani, M.Si Ganesha University of Education Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences Singaraja- Indonesia E. Mail: <u>nimade.pujani@undiksha.ac.id</u>



#### REFERENCES

Achurraa, C. dan Villardónb, L. (2013). Teacher' self-Efficacy and student learning. The *European Journal of Social & Behavioural Sciences* (ISSN: 2301-2218).

Bandura, A. (1997). *Self Efficacy, The Exercise of Control*. New York: W.H. freeman and Company.

Bernadowski, C., Perry, R., dan Del Greco, R. (2013). Improving preservice teachers' self-Efficacy through service learning. *International Journal of Instruction*, *16*(2): 67-86.

Black, G.L. (2015). Developing Teacher Candidates' Self-Efficacy Through Reflection and Supervising Teacher Support. *in education*, *21*(1): 78-98.

Bush,C. (2010). Improving subject pedagogy through lesson study: *Handbook for Leading Teachers in Mathematics and English.* The National Strategies, Secondary.

Chapoo, S. Thathong, K., & Halim, L. (2014). Understanding Biology Teachers's pedagogical content knowledge for teaching " the nature of organism". *Procedia Social and Bihavioral Science, 116*: 464-471.

Cheng, L.P., & Yee, L.P. (2011/2012). A Singapore Case of Lesson Study. *The Mathematics Educator,* 21(2): 34–57.

Cooper, S., Wilkerson, T. L., Eddy, C. M., Kamen, M., Marble, S., Junk, D., & Sawyer, C. (2011). Lesson study among mathematics educators: Professional collaboration enabled through a virtual faculty learning community. *Learning Communities Journal*, *3*: 21-40.

Dudley, P. 2015. Lesson study: Professional Learning for our Time. London: Routledge

Driel, J. H. V. & Berry, A. (2012). Teacher professional development focusing on pedagogical content knowledge. *Educational Researcher, 41*(1): 26-28.

Evens, M., Elen, J., & Depaepe, F. (2015). Developing pedagogical content knowledge: Lessons learned from intervention studies. *Education Research International, 2015:*1-23.

Fernandez, G. (2014). Knowledge Base for Teaching and Pedagogical Content Knowledge (PCK): some Useful Models and Implication for Teacher Training. Problem of Education in the  $21^{st}$  Century, 60 (79).

Flores, I.M. (2015). Developing preserves teachers' self-efficacy through field-based science teaching practice with elementary students. *Research in Higher Education Journal, 27*: 1-19.

Gibson, S., & Dembo, M. (1984). Teacher efficacy: A construct validation. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, *76*: 569-582.

Gutierez, S,B. (2015). Collaborative professional learning through lesson study: Identifying the challenges of inquiry-based teaching. *Issues in Educational Research, 25*(2).

Haithcock, F. (2010). A Guide for Implementing Lesson Study for District and School Leadership Teams in Differentiated Accountability Schools. Florida Department of Education.

Hake, R. 2006. The physics education reform effort: A possible model for higher education. *National Teaching and Learning Forum (NTLF).* 15(1).



IEA. (2011). TIMSS 2011. Science Acheivement. TIMMS and PIRLS. International Study Center.

Jones, A., & Moreland, J. (2015). Considering pedagogical content knowledge in the context of research on teaching: An example from technology. *Waikato Journal Of Education.* Special 20<sup>th</sup> Anniversary Collection, 2015.

Lange, K., Kleickman, T., dan Moller, K. (2011). Elementary Teachers' Pedagogical content knowledge and Student Achievement in Science Education. In C. Bruguiere, A. Tiberghien & P. Clément (Eds.), Science Learning and Citizenship. *Proceedings of the Ninth ESERA-Conference 2011*. Lyon.

Sarisavinarinawatie Shahrani Muhammad Leonga, S.S.M., Saidb, H.M., Shahrillb, M., & Pererab, JSH.Q. (2016). Using lesson study to enhance meaningful understanding on the topic of pressure. International Journal Of Environmental & Science Education, 11, (15): 8425-8435.

Lewis, C.C., Perry, R.R, & Hurd, J. (2009). Improving mathematics instruction through lesson study: a theoretical model and North American case. *J Math Teacher Educ* (2009) 12:285–304.

Lomibao, L.S. (2016). Enhancing mathematics teachers' quality through Lesson Study. *Springerplus*. 2016, 5 (1): 1590. Published online 2016 Sep 15. Diakses tanggal 23 Maret 2016.

Maddux, J. E. & Volkmann, J. (2010). *Self Efficacy*. In R.H. Hoyle (Ed), *Handbook of Personality and Self Regulation*. United Kingdom: Blackwell Publishing Ltd.

Mee, L.S., & Oyao, S.G. (2013). Establishing Learning Communities among Science Teachers Through Lesson Study. *Education in Southeast Asia, 36* (1): 1-22.

Marsigit. (2007). Mathematics teachers' professional development through lesson study in Indonesia. *Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science & Technology Education,* 3(2): 141-144.

Morrison, A.D & Luttenergger, K.C. (2015). Measuring Pedagogical content knowledge Using Multiple Points of Data. *The Qualitative Report* 2015, *20* (6): 804-816.

Nye B, Konstantopoulos S, Hedges LV. 2004. How large are teacher effects? *Educ Eval Policy Anal,* 26(3):237–257.

OECD. (2014). PISA 2012 Results: *What Students Know and Can Do Student Performance in Mathematics, Reading and Science* (Volume I).

Pan, Y.H. (2014). Relationships Among Teachers' Self-Efficacy and Students' Motivation, Atmosphere, and Satisfaction in Physical Education. *Journal of Teaching in Physical Education, 2014* (33): 68-92.

Pektas, M. (2014). Effect of Lesson Study on Science Teacher Candidates' Teaching Eficcacies. *Educational Research and Review. 9*(6):164-172.

Reza Sarkar Arani, M., Keisuke, F., dan Lassegard, J.P. (2010). "Lesson Study" as Professional Culture in Japanese Schools: An Historical Perspective on Elementary Classroom Practices. *Japan Review*, 2010 (22): 171–200.

Rozenszajn, R., &Yarden. A. 2014. Expansion of biology teachers'pedagogical content knowledge (PCK) during a long-term professional development program. *Research in Science Education*, *44*: 189-213.



Schleicher, A. (2016). International Summit on the Teaching Profession Teaching Excellence through Professional Learning and Policy Reform. LESSONS FROM AROUND THE WORLD. **OECD**.

Shuilleabhain, A. N. (2016). Developing mathematics teachers' pedagogical content knowledge through iterative cycles of lesson study. *Proceedings of the Ninth Congress of the European Society for Research in Mathematics Education.* 2734-2740.

Shulman, L. (1987). Knowledge and teaching: Foundations of the new reform. *Harvard Educational Review*. 57(1): 1-22.

Susilo, H., Chotimah, H., Joharmawan, R., Jumiati., Sari, Y.D., & Sunarjo.2009. *Lesson Study Berbasis Sekolah (Guru Konservatif menuju Guru Inovatif)*. Malang: Banyumedia Publishing.

Tschanmen-Moran, M., & Hoy, A. W. 2001. Teacher Efficacy: Capturing an Elusive Construct. *Teaching and teacher education*, *17*: 783-805.